

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO DIVISION

JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

- vs -

CASE NO.: 3:21-cv-00041

BC ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,
d/b/a OASIS LANES,
d/b/a OASIS LANES AND AMUSEMENT
CENTER, and
d/b/a FRANKIE'S BAR,

BC/OASIS HOLDING, LLC,

MICHAEL M. MILES, and

ANGEL SALVADOR ROMO,
a/k/a SALVADOR A. ROMO and
a/k/a A. SALVADOR ROMO,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Joe Hand Promotions, Inc., by and through its attorney, for its Complaint against Defendants, (1) BC Entertainment, LLC, *doing business as* Oasis Lanes, *doing business as* Oasis Lanes and Amusement Center, and *doing business as* Frankie's Bar, (2) BC/Oasis Holding, LLC, (3) Michael M. Miles, and (4) Angel Salvador Romo, *also known as* Salvador A. Romo and *also known as* A. Salvador Romo, hereby alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business located at 213 W. Street Road, Feasterville, Pennsylvania 19053. Plaintiff held the exclusive commercial distribution rights to the public display of the broadcast of the *Ultimate Fighting Championship*® 239: *Jones vs. Santos*, including all undercard bouts and the entire television broadcast, telecast nationwide on July 6, 2019 (“Program”) for businesses such as the business made the basis of this suit.

2. Defendant, BC ENTERTAINMENT, LLC (“BC Entertainment”)
- a. is a domestic limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Texas,
 - b. conducts business in the State of Texas,
 - c. may be served by serving its Registered Agent, Michael M. Miles at its registered office located at 780 Gomez Rd., El Paso, Texas 79932,
 - d. conducted business as “Oasis Lanes” on the date of the Program,
 - e. conducted business as “Oasis Lanes and Amusement Center” on the date of the Program,
 - f. conducted business as “Frankie’s Bar” on the date of the Program,
 - g. owned, operated, maintained, and controlled the commercial establishment known as Oasis Lanes/Oasis Lanes and Amusement Center/Frankie’s Bar located at 1660 N. Zaragoza Rd., El Paso, Texas 79936 (the “Establishment”) on the date of the Program,
 - h. held the active permit(s)/license(s) from the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission for the Establishment on the date of the Program, and
 - i. held the active sales tax permit from the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts for the Establishment on the date of the Program.

3. Defendant, BC/OASIS HOLDING, LLC (“BC/Oasis Holding”)
 - a. is a domestic limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Texas,
 - b. conducts business in the State of Texas,
 - c. may be served by serving its Registered Agent, Michael M. Miles at its registered office located at 780 Gomez Rd., El Paso, Texas 79932,
 - d. was an owner of the entity owning and operating the Establishment on the date of the Program,
 - e. was a member of the entity owning and operating the Establishment on the date of the Program,
 - f. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program, and
 - g. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program.
4. Defendant, MICHAEL M. MILES (“Miles”)
 - a. is an individual who resides in the State of Texas,
 - b. may be served at 780 Gomez Rd., El Paso, Texas 79932 or wherever found,
 - c. operated, maintained, and controlled the Establishment on the date of the Program,
 - d. was a member, manager, officer, and/or principal of the entity(ies) owning and operating the Establishment on the date of the Program,
 - e. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program, and
 - f. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program.
5. Defendant, ANGEL SALVADOR ROMO (“Romo”)
 - a. is an individual who resides in the State of Texas,
 - b. is also known as Salvador A. Romo,

- c. is also known as A. Salvador Romo,
- d. may be served at 780 Gomez Rd., El Paso, Texas 79932 or wherever found,
- e. operated, maintained, and controlled the Establishment on the date of the Program,
- f. was a member, manager, officer, and/or principal of the entity(ies) owning and operating the Establishment on the date of the Program,
- g. had a right and ability to supervise the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program, and
- h. had an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment on the date of the Program.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) as this civil action is brought under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 553 (generally referred to as “Cable Piracy”) and 47 U.S.C. § 605 (generally referred to as “Satellite Piracy”).

7. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and/or Defendants reside in this District.

FACTS

8. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference each and every allegation and averment set forth in the above paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if the same were more fully set forth at length herein.

9. Plaintiff is a company that specializes in distributing and licensing premier sporting events to commercial locations such as bars, restaurants, lounges, clubhouses, and similar establishments. Since 2001, Plaintiff has been the exclusive domestic commercial distributor for the world’s premier mixed martial arts promotion company, the Ultimate Fighting

Championship®. Over the years, Plaintiff has invested a considerable amount of time and money in building a loyal customer base and retaining customers.

10. By contract, Plaintiff was granted the exclusive right to license and distribute the Program to commercial establishments throughout the United States, including the Establishment. The Program broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted interstate to cable and satellite systems via satellite signal.

11. Plaintiff entered into subsequent agreements with various commercial establishments in the State of Texas that, in exchange for a fee, allowed them to exhibit the Program to their patrons. In consideration of the aforementioned agreements, Plaintiff expended substantial monies to market, advertise, promote, administer, and transmit the Program broadcast to those establishments in the State of Texas.

12. Prior to the broadcast of the Program, Defendants could have contracted with Plaintiff and purchased authorization to exhibit the Program in the Establishment for a fee. However, Defendants chose not to contract with Plaintiff or pay a fee to Plaintiff to obtain the proper license or authorization. At no time did Plaintiff give Defendants license, permission, or authority to receive and exhibit the Program in their Establishment.

13. Upon information and belief, by unauthorized satellite transmission or, alternatively, by unauthorized receipt over a cable system, Defendants, themselves and/or through their agents, servants, and employees, willfully intercepted and/or received the interstate communication of the Program or assisted in such actions. Defendants, themselves and/or through their agents, servants, and employees, then unlawfully transmitted, divulged, and published said communication or assisted in unlawfully transmitting, divulging, and publishing said communication to patrons in the Establishment.

14. Without authorization, license, or permission to do so from Plaintiff, Defendants exhibited the Program to the patrons within the Establishment.

15. In addition, upon information and belief, and by virtue of their position(s) as it relates to the Establishment, Defendants, BC/Oasis Holding, Miles, and Romo had the right and ability to supervise and an obvious and direct financial interest in the activities of the Establishment at all relevant times.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendants pirated Plaintiff's licensed exhibition of the Program and infringed upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights while avoiding proper authorization from and payment to Plaintiff. Upon information and belief, Defendants' actions were committed willfully and with the purpose and intent to secure a commercial advantage and private financial gain.

SATELLITE PIRACY/CABLE PIRACY

17. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference each and every allegation and averment set forth in the above paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if the same were more fully set forth at length herein.

18. Defendants' wrongful actions, in connection with the unauthorized exhibition of the Program, as described above, violate 47 U.S.C. § 605. By reason of Defendants' violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605, Plaintiff has standing and capacity to bring a private right of action.

19. Pled in the alternative, Defendants' wrongful actions, in connection with the unauthorized exhibition of the Program, as described above, violate 47 U.S.C. § 553, and by virtue of same, Plaintiff has standing and capacity to bring a private right of action.

20. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment in its favor and against each Defendant for statutory damages, in the discretion of this Court, plus interest, costs, and attorney's fees, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605 or, alternatively, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against each Defendant as follows:

- a. for statutory damages, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$110,000.00 for the willful violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605 or, alternatively, for statutory damages, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$60,000.00 for the willful violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553,
- b. for Plaintiff's attorney's fees, interest, and costs of suit pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii) or, alternatively, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(2)(C), and
- c. for such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMIE KING, P.C.

/s/ Jamie King

Jamie King
Attorney-in-Charge
State Bar No. 24043755
P.O. Box 5757
Kingwood, Texas 77325
(832) 584-0106 Telephone
(888) 247-0443 Facsimile
jamie@jamiekingpc.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF,
JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC.